

# The Alpha Invariant and K-stability of $\mathbb{Q}$ -Fano Varieties. ①

Recall: Let  $X$  be a cpxt  $n$ -dim'd smooth Fano Variety,  
and  $G \subseteq \text{Aut}(X)$  <sup>(possibly trivial)</sup> ~~a subgroup~~. Let  $\omega$  be a  
fixed  $G$  invariant Kähler form in  $C_1(X)$ . Set

$$P_G(X) = \left\{ \varphi \in C_{\mathbb{R}}^2(X) \mid \varphi \text{ is } G\text{-invariant, } \sup \varphi = 0, \text{ and } \right. \\ \left. \omega + \frac{i}{2\pi} \partial\bar{\partial} \varphi > 0 \right\}$$

Then

$$\alpha_G(X) = \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \sup \{ \alpha > 0 \mid \exists C(\alpha) \text{ s.t. } \int e^{-\alpha \varphi} \omega^n < C(\alpha) \forall \\ \varphi \in P_G(X, \omega) \end{array} \right\}$$

$\alpha_G(X)$  is independent of  $\omega$ . Then Tian showed

if  $\alpha_G(X) > \frac{n}{n+1}$ , then  $X$  admits a KE metric.

Thm: (Donaldson) if  $(X, L)$  has cscK metric  $\omega \in C_1(L)$ , then  
 $(X, L)$  is K-stable.

Thus:  $\alpha_G(X) > \frac{n}{n+1} \Rightarrow (X, K_X)$  is K-stable.

Question: Can we prove this directly?

Def'n: A test configuration for  $(X, L)$  is a scheme  $\mathcal{X}$ , and an ample line bundle  $\mathcal{Z} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$ , together with a  $\mathbb{C}^*$  equivariant map  $\pi: (\mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ , with  $\pi$  flat, such that the generic fibre  $\mathcal{Z}_t \rightarrow \mathcal{X}_t \cong L \rightarrow X$ .

$\pi^{-1}(0)$  inherits a  $\mathbb{C}^*$  action, thus we can compute the total weight of the induced action on  $H^0(\mathcal{X}_0, \mathcal{Z}_0^k)$

Let  $w(k)$  be this weight: then  $w(k) = b_0 k^{n+1} + b_1 k^n + \dots$

Then  $DF(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Z}) = \frac{a_0 b_1 - a_1 b_0}{a_0^2}$  where  $H^0(X, L) = a_0 k^n + a_1 k^{n+1} + \dots$  are the R.R. coefficients.   
 $\left[ \begin{array}{l} (X, L) \text{ k-stable if } \forall \text{ test configurations } \\ (\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Z}) \text{ we have } DF(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Z}) \geq 0 \end{array} \right]$

Lemma 1:  $H^0(\mathcal{X}_0, \mathcal{Z}_0^k) \cong \pi_* \mathcal{Z}^k|_{\mathbb{C}^*} \cong H^0_{\mathcal{X}}(\mathcal{Z}^k) / \mathbb{C} H^0_{\mathcal{X}}(\mathcal{Z}^k)$

pt  $0 \rightarrow \mathbb{C} H^0_{\mathcal{X}}(\mathcal{Z}^k) \rightarrow H^0_{\mathcal{X}}(\mathcal{Z}^k) \xrightarrow{1|_{\mathbb{C}^*}} H^0_{\mathcal{X}}(\mathcal{X}_0, \mathcal{Z}_0^k)$  gives

the inclusion  $H^0_{\mathcal{X}}(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Z}^k) / \mathbb{C} H^0_{\mathcal{X}}(\mathcal{Z}^k) \hookrightarrow H^0(\mathcal{X}_0, \mathcal{Z}_0^k)$ .

The other inclusion follows from flatness and non-sense.

By Theorem 12.11 in Chapter 3 of Hartshorne, any  $s \in \pi_* \mathcal{Z}|_{\mathbb{C}^*}$  extends to a meromorphic section of  $\pi_* \mathcal{Z}$  over  $\mathbb{C}$ , which is holomorphic near zero. Choose a polynomial

(3)

$p(t)$  s.t.  $\begin{cases} p(0) = 1 \\ p(t) \cdot s \text{ is holomorphic.} \end{cases}$  Then  $[p, s] \in H^0(X, \mathcal{Z}) / tH^0(X, \mathcal{Z})$   
 is the desired section.  $\square$

This will be useful later.

K-stability is a hard condition to check. We need some "simple" family of test configs.

### Deformation to the Normal Cone.

Let  $Z \subseteq X$  be a subscheme (think subvariety). Define

a scheme  $\mathcal{X} \xrightarrow{\pi} \mathbb{C}$  by  $\mathcal{X} = \text{Bl}_{Z \times \{0\}} X \times \mathbb{C}$ .

~~Let~~  $\mathcal{X} \xrightarrow{\tilde{\pi}} X \times \mathbb{C} \xrightarrow{P_2} \mathbb{C}$ , then  $\pi = P_2 \circ \tilde{\pi}$ . Let  $E$  be the exceptional divisor of  $\tilde{\pi}$ , and set  $\mathcal{L}_c = \tilde{\pi}^* L \otimes \mathcal{O}(cE)$ .

For  $c$  small  $\mathcal{L}_c$  is ample. The  $\mathbb{C}^*$  action on  $\mathcal{X}$  is induced from the trivial action on  $X \times \mathbb{C}$  (acting only on  $\mathbb{C}$ ). This action is trivial on  $\mathcal{X}_0 \setminus E$ , but not trivial on  $E$ .

For this family we can do many computations explicitly. See, e.g. Ross-Thomas.

Remark: Blowing up  $X \times \mathbb{C}$  in  $Z \times \{0\}$  is the scheme theoretic blow up in the ideal  $I_{Z \times \{0\}} = I_Z + (t)$ . This agrees w/ the usual blow up when  $Z$  is a variety.

We can do this more generally. Choose a subvariety  $Z_1$  then  $\mathcal{X}_1 = \text{Bl}_{Z_1 \times \{0\}} X \times \mathbb{C}$ . Let  $Z_2$  be any  $\mathbb{C}^*$  invariant subscheme of  $\pi_1^{-1}(0) = (\mathcal{X}_1)_0 \subseteq \mathcal{X}_1$  and take  $\mathcal{X}_2 = \text{Bl}_{Z_2} \mathcal{X}_1$  etc. This is the same as scheme theoretically blowing up ~~the~~ a flag variety

Def'n: let  $I_1 \subseteq I_2 \subseteq \dots \subseteq I_{N-1} \subseteq \mathcal{O}_X$  be a sequence of coherent ideals. Then set

$$J = I_0 + tI_1 + \dots + I_{N-1}t^{N-1} + (t^N).$$

Then we set  $B := \text{Bl}_J X \times \mathbb{C} \xrightarrow{\pi} \mathbb{C}$ , and let  $E$  be the exceptional divisor. ~~The~~ Let  $L \rightarrow X$  be ample ~~the~~  $X \times \mathbb{C} \xrightarrow{p_1} X$ , and  $\mathcal{L} = p_1^* L$ . Then  $\mathcal{L}(-E)$  is (semi)ample (replace  $L \rightarrow L^r$  if necessary) and this gives a (semi) test configuration.

Theorem 2: (O'Daka).

A polarized Variety  $(X, L)$  is  $K$ -stable if and only if  $DF((X, \mathcal{Z})) \geq 0$  for all ~~the~~ (semi) test configurations obtained from Flag Ideals as before.

Theorem 3: Let  $(X, L)$ ,  $\mathbb{B}$ ,  $J$  be as before. Then we have

$$DF\left(\left(B|_J(X \times \mathbb{C}), \mathcal{Z}(-E)\right)\right) = \frac{1}{2n!(n+1)!} \left\{ -n(L^{n-1} \cdot K_X)(\mathcal{Z}(-E))^{n+1} + (n+1)L^n \left( (\mathcal{Z}(-E))^n \cdot \prod_{P_i}^* K_X \right. \right. \\ \left. \left. + (n+1)(L^n) \left( (\mathcal{Z}(-E))^n \cdot K_{\mathbb{B}/X \times \mathbb{C}} \right) \right\}$$

where intersection numbers are computed on  $X$  or  $\overline{\mathbb{B}} = B|_J(X \times \mathbb{P}^1)$ .

Recall:

$$\text{Sesh}(J; (X, L)) := \sup \{ c > 0 \mid \pi^* L(-cE) \text{ is ample} \}.$$

Idea: Relate Log Canonical Threshold to Seshadri Constant which we use to bound terms appearing in the DF invariant.

pt of Theorem 3: we want to use.

Lemma (Mumford). Let  $V$  be a vector space over  $\mathbb{C}$ , with a  $GL(n, \mathbb{C})$  action on  $V \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathbb{C}[t]$  where the action on  $V$  is trivial, and the weight on  $t$  is  $(-1)$ . Let

$$V_0 \subseteq V_1 \subseteq \dots \subseteq V_{N-1} \subseteq V_N = V = \dots = V, \text{ set } \mathcal{V} := \sum t^i V_i$$

a sub  $\mathbb{C}[t]$  module of  $V \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathbb{C}[t]$ . Then, the total weight on  $\mathcal{V}/t\mathcal{V}$  is equal to  $-\dim\left(\frac{V \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathbb{C}[t]}{\mathcal{V}}\right)$ .

We want to use this on  $\mathcal{V} = H^0(X, \mathcal{L}^k(-E))$  by Lemma 1.

Now  $H^0(X, \mathcal{L}^k(-E)) = H^0(X, \pi_* \mathcal{L}^k \otimes \mathcal{J}^k)$

Since sections of  $\mathcal{L}^k(-E)$  correspond to sections of  $\mathcal{L}^k$  on the base ~~vanishing~~ vanishing to order  $k$  on "J".

Now  $\mathcal{J}^k = \mathcal{I}_0^k \oplus \mathcal{I}_0^{k-1} \mathcal{I}_1 t \oplus (\mathcal{I}_0^{k-2} \mathcal{I}_1^2 \oplus \mathcal{I}_0^{k-1} \mathcal{I}_2) t^2 \oplus \dots \oplus (t^{Nk})$

Now since  $\mathcal{I}_0 \subseteq \mathcal{I}_1 \subseteq \dots$  we get ~~the following~~

~~the~~  $\mathcal{L}^k \otimes \mathcal{J}^k = \bigoplus_{j=0}^{Nk} \tilde{\mathcal{I}}_j t^j \otimes \mathcal{L}^k$  and  $\tilde{\mathcal{I}}_j \subseteq \tilde{\mathcal{I}}_{j+1} \subseteq \dots \subseteq \mathcal{O}_X$ .

So  $H^0(X \times \mathbb{C}, \mathcal{L}^k \otimes \mathcal{J}^k) = \bigoplus_{j=0}^{Nk} t^j H^0(X, \mathcal{L}^k \otimes \tilde{\mathcal{I}}_j)$

Thus, setting  $V = H^0(X, \mathcal{L}^k)$ , we see

$$w(k) = -\dim \left( \frac{H^0(X, \mathcal{L}^k) \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathbb{C}[t]}{H^0(X \times \mathbb{C}, \mathcal{L}^k \otimes \mathcal{J}^k)} \right)$$

$$= -\dim \left( \frac{H^0(X \times \mathbb{C}, \mathcal{L}^k)}{H^0(X \times \mathbb{C}, \mathcal{L}^k \otimes \mathcal{J}^k)} \right)$$

We now use:

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{L}^k \otimes \mathcal{J}^k \rightarrow \mathcal{L}^k \rightarrow \mathcal{L}^k \otimes \frac{\mathcal{O}}{\mathcal{J}^k} \rightarrow 0$$

The Long exact sequence in cohomology gives

$$0 \rightarrow H^0(X \times \mathbb{C}, \mathcal{L}^k \otimes \mathcal{J}^k) \rightarrow H^0(X \times \mathbb{C}, \mathcal{L}^k) \rightarrow H^0(X \times \mathbb{C}, \frac{\mathcal{L}^k}{\mathcal{J}^k \mathcal{L}^k})$$

$$\rightarrow H^1(\frac{\mathcal{L}^k}{\mathcal{J}^k}) \rightarrow \dots$$

So  $\dim \frac{H^0(X \times \mathbb{C}, \mathcal{L}^k)}{H^0(\frac{\mathcal{L}^k}{\mathcal{J}^k})} = \dim H^0(\frac{\mathcal{L}^k}{\mathcal{J}^k \mathcal{L}^k}) - \dim H^1(\frac{\mathcal{L}^k}{\mathcal{J}^k})$

Lemma:  $h^i(\frac{\mathcal{L}^k}{\mathcal{J}^k}) = O(k^{n-1}) \forall i > 0$ .

So  $w(k) = -\dim H^0(X \times \mathbb{C}, \mathcal{L}^k \otimes \mathcal{J}^k) + \dim H^0(X \times \mathbb{C}, \mathcal{L}^k) + O(k^{n-1})$

Now apply Riemann-Roch 

we want to apply this formula when  $L = -rK_X$   
 where  $r \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$  is large so that  $\mathcal{L} = \pi^* P_1^* (\mathcal{O}_X(-rk_X))$   
 satisfies  $\mathcal{L}(-E)$  is ample. Then

$$DF(B, \mathcal{L}(-E)) \cdot 2n!(n+1)! = -((\bar{L}-E)^n \cdot \bar{L}) + ((\bar{L}-E)^n \cdot ((n+1)rK_{B/X \times \mathbb{C}} - nE))$$

Proposition:  $-((\bar{L}-E)^n \cdot \bar{L}) \geq 0$  for any flag ideal  $J$ .

So it suffices to prove the 2<sup>nd</sup> term is positive.

Corollary A: if  $\exists \varepsilon \geq 0$  st.  $\left[ \left(\frac{n+1}{n}\right) K_{B/X \times \mathbb{C}} - \text{Sesh}(J, (X \times \mathbb{C}), -K_{X \times \mathbb{C}}) \right] E > \varepsilon E$

Then  $DF(B, \mathcal{L}(-E)) \geq 0$

need to compute.

pf  $\int_n (\bar{L}-E)^n \cdot \left( \frac{(n+1)r}{n} K_{B/X \times \mathbb{C}} - \frac{1}{r} E \right)$

Note that since  $\mathcal{L}(-E)$  is ample,  $\mathcal{L} = \pi^* P_1^* (-K_X^r)$  we know that  $\frac{1}{r} < \text{Sesh}(J, (X \times \mathbb{C}), -K_{X \times \mathbb{C}})$ .

Now  $((\bar{L}-E)^n \cdot E) > 0$  by another result of Odaka.

So  $(\bar{L}-E)^n \cdot \left( \frac{n+1}{n} K_{B/X \times \mathbb{C}} - \frac{1}{r} E \right) > (\bar{L}-E)^n \cdot \varepsilon E \geq 0. \quad \square$

9

NTS: Condition in Corollary A holds if  $\alpha(x) > \frac{n}{n+1}$ .

To do this, relate  $\alpha$  to  $h_e$  by canonical threshold.

Log Canonical Threshold:

Let  $a \in \mathcal{O}_x$  be a non-zero coherent sheaf of ideals (we will often assume  $a = \mathcal{I}_D$  for some divisor  $D$ ), and

$\pi: \hat{X} \rightarrow X$  a log resolution of singularities. Let  $\sum_i E_i$  be a divisor w/ simple normal crossings (snc) s.t.

•  $D = \sum a_i E_i$ , where  $a \cdot \mathcal{O}_{\hat{X}} = \mathcal{O}_{\hat{X}}(-D)$

•  $K_{\hat{X}/X} = \sum k_i E_i$ , then  $\text{lct}(a) = \min_i \frac{1+k_i}{a_i}$

Recall:  $\pi: \hat{X} \rightarrow X$  is a log resolution if  $D$  has normal crossings and  $K_{\hat{X}/X}$  have normal crossings.

Def'n:  $\text{lct}(X) = \min_{m \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}} \min_D \text{lct}(X, \frac{1}{m} D)$  where 2<sup>nd</sup> min runs over effective divisors which are linearly equivalent to  $-mK_X$ . ( $\text{lct}(X, D) = \text{lct}(\mathcal{I}_D)$ .)

if  $a = (f_1, \dots, f_k)$ , then  $\text{lct}(a) = \frac{1}{2} \sup \left\{ s \mid \frac{1}{\left( \sum_{i=1}^k |f_i|^2 \right)^s} \in L_{\text{loc}}^2(X) \right\}$

Defn: If  $a, b \in \mathcal{O}_x$  are ideals, then we define the mixed log Canonical Threshold (10)

$$\text{lct}_{(X,b)}(a) := \sup \{c \geq 0 \mid (X, b \cdot a^c) \text{ is l.c.}\}.$$

ex: if  $b = (f)$ ,  $a = (g)$  then

$$\text{lct}_{(X,b)}(a) = \sup \left\{ s \mid \frac{1}{|f|^{2s} |g|^{2s}} \in L_{\text{loc}}^1(X) \right\}.$$

Note: in general  $\text{lct}_{(X,b)}(a)$  may be negative.

However, we shall always deal with  $(a,b)$  s.t.  
 $\text{lct}_{(X,b)}(a) \geq 0$ .

Example: Consider  $I = (y^3 - x^2) \subseteq \mathbb{C}[x,y]$ . Define

$\pi: \mathbb{C}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^2$  by  $(u,v) \xrightarrow{\pi} (u^3v, u^2v)$ . Then

$$\pi^* I = u^6 v^2 (v-1), \quad \pi^* K_X = u^4 v du dv.$$

$$\text{So } \text{lct}(I) = \frac{5}{6}.$$

Theorem (Demailly)  $\alpha(X) = \text{lct}(X)$  (and  $\alpha_G(X) = \text{lct}_G(X)$ ),  
the "G-invariant versions"

(11)

Proposition: Let  $X$  be a  $\mathbb{Q}$ -fano variety. If  $\text{ct}(X) > 0$   
 then we have:

$$\text{Sesh}(J, (X \times \mathbb{C}, -K_{X \times \mathbb{C}})) \leq \frac{1}{\text{ct}(X)} \min_i \left\{ \frac{1 + a_i - b_i}{c_i} \right\} \quad (*)$$

where

$$B = \text{Bl}_J X \times \mathbb{C}, \quad K_{B/X \times \mathbb{C}} = \sum_i a_i E_i$$

$$b_i = \text{ord}_{E_i}(X \times \{0\}) \quad \text{and} \quad c_i = \text{ord}_{E_i}(J).$$

pf

Fact (Ross-Thomas)

$$\text{Sesh}(J, (X \times \mathbb{C}, -K_{X \times \mathbb{C}})) = \min_j (\text{Sesh}(I_j, (X, -K_X)))$$

Thus: suffices to show (\*) for  $I_0$  instead of  $J$ .

Let  $c \in \mathbb{Q}_{>0}$  satisfy  $c < \text{Sesh}(I_0, (X, -K_X))$ .

Let  $D$  be a divisor corresponding to  $\{s=0\}$  for  $s \in H^0(X, I_0^{mc} \mathcal{O}_X(-mk_X))$  (for  $m \gg 0$ , a global section exists by ampleness).

Let  $b = I_{\{X \times \{0\}\}} \subseteq X \times \mathbb{C}$ .

Then:

def'n

$$\text{let } (x) \leq \text{let}(x, I_D) \stackrel{(*)}{\leq} \text{let}_{(x \times \mathbb{C}, b)} I_{D \times \mathbb{C}}$$

(\*) follows from inversion of adjunction or equivalently the  $L^2$  extension theorem of Ohsawa-Takegoshi

$$\leq \text{let}_{(x \times \mathbb{C}, b)} I_0^c \quad \text{since } I_0^c \geq I_{D \times \mathbb{C}} \text{ by def'n of } D.$$

But  $I_0^c \leq J^c$  since  $J = I_0 + tI_1 + \dots$

So  $\text{let}_{(x \times \mathbb{C}, b)} \leq \text{let}_{(x \times \mathbb{C}, b)} J^c = \frac{1}{c} \text{let}_{(x \times \mathbb{C}, b)} J$

$$\leq \frac{1}{c} \min_i \left\{ \frac{1 + a_i - b_i}{c_i} \right\}$$

This last inequality follows from the fact that

$\pi: B \rightarrow X \times \mathbb{C}$  is a birational map. The generators

of  $J$  (say  $\{g_1, \dots, g_k\}$ ) ~~are not~~ have

$$b \cdot \left( \prod_{i=1}^k |g_i|^2 \right)^s \in L_{loc}^2(X \times \mathbb{C}) \text{ if } s \geq \left\{ \frac{1 + a_i - b_i}{c_i} \right\} \text{ for any } i$$

But this estimate is not sharp, unless  $\pi$  is a log resolution. (just check in local coordinates!)

it follows that  
as desired.

$$c \leq \frac{1}{\text{lct}(x)} \min_i \left\{ \frac{1+a_i-b_i}{c_i} \right\}$$

Now if  $\text{lct}(x) > \frac{n}{n+1}$ , then

$$\left(\frac{n+1}{n}\right) K_{B/x \times c} - \text{sech}(J, (x \times c, -K_{x \times c})) E$$

$$> \frac{n+1}{n} K_{B/x \times c} - \frac{n+1}{n} \min_j \left\{ \frac{1+a_j-b_j}{c_j} \right\} \sum c_i E_i$$

But  $K_{B/x \times c} = \sum a_i E_i$ , so

~~$$\frac{n+1}{n} \sum_i \left[ a_i - \min_j \left\{ \frac{1+a_j-b_j}{c_j} \right\} \right] E_i$$~~

$$\frac{n+1}{n} \sum_i \left[ a_i - \min_j \left\{ \frac{1+a_j-b_j}{c_j} \right\} \right] E_i \geq 0.$$

$$\frac{n+1}{n} \sum_i \left\{ \left( \frac{1+a_i-b_i}{c_i} - \min_j \left\{ \frac{1+a_j-b_j}{c_j} \right\} \right) + \frac{b_i-1}{c_i} \right\} c_i E_i$$

Note:  $\frac{b_i-1}{c_i} \geq 0$  since  $b_i \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$  ( $b_i$  correspond to the zeroes of  $\pi^*_{\pm}$  which is hol.c.)